

Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences

www.pjlss.edu.pk

Review Comments	
Paper ID	PJLSS-24-3842
Article Title:	The Echo Chamber Phenomenon on Instagram Social Media in the Case of the Revision of the 2024 PILKADA Law
Author(s):	Amin Aminudin
Please rate the following: (1 = Excellent) (2 = Good) (3 = Fair) (4 = Poor)	
<p>I am grateful for the opportunity to review this manuscript. It was a pleasure to read, and I commend you for your efforts. Below, I present my evaluations of the manuscript.</p>	
Introduction	1
Literature Review	2
Methodology	1
Results	1
Conclusion	2
References	2

Then also provide improvement suggestions in a Word article as follows:

No.	REVIEW ITEMS	YES/NO	SUGGESTIONS(<i>mandatory if your answer is NO, so the author gets an idea for revision</i>)
1	Is the article the result of field research/text analysis in the field of communication studies?	Yes	
2	Does the title contain a short and informative sentence?	Yes	
3	Does the title explicitly indicate that the article is a communication study?	Yes	
4	Does the abstract contain elements of introduction, objectives, methods, and clear, complete, and structured research conclusions?	Yes	Revision
5	Are the keywords relevant to the article content?	Yes	
6	Is the writing structure according to the guidelines (INTRODUCTION, RESEARCH METHODS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS, and REFERENCES)?	Yes	
7	Does the INTRODUCTION contain the background of the problem, supplemented by data from reliable sources?		
8	Is the INTRODUCTION supplemented by various previous research journals?	Yes	
9	Does the INTRODUCTION include relevant theories or concepts?	Yes	
10	Does the INTRODUCTION contain clear research objectives stated explicitly?	Yes	Revision
11	Does the RESEARCH METHOD include: study type, object and subject (sample/key informant and amount), data collection techniques, data analysis techniques, and location that are complete and methodologically relevant?	Yes	
12	Do the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION show useful findings?	Yes	Revision
13	Are the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION supplemented with at least one informative and complementary table or figure?	Yes	Revision
14	Do the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION contain scientific analysis and synthesis as well as support from credible references?	Yes	
15	Do the CONCLUSIONS answer the objectives?	Yes	Revision
16	Do the CONCLUSIONS contain new thoughts that will have a tangible impact on science and society?	Yes	Revision
17	Do the CONCLUSIONS contain recommendations that will contribute to science and society?	Yes	Revision
18	Do the REFERENCES consist of relevant reference sources?	Yes	Revision

No.	REVIEW ITEMS	YES/NO	SUGGESTIONS(<i>mandatory if your answer is NO, so the author gets an idea for revision</i>)
19	Do the REFERENCES consist of 60% journal articles?	No	Revision
20	Do the REFERENCES consist of 80% sources less than 10 years old?	No	Revision
21	Do the REFERENCES meet the minimum number of 15 sources?	Yes	
22	Provide an overall score (1-4) for the article.	2	