

BELOW WOULD BE SENT TO THE AUTHOR(S)

:Due January 20, 2018

Reviewer ID: MASKED-A

Paper ID: 180108

Paper: "Feature Representation of Traffic Objects for Traffic Data Analysis"

On a scale of 1 – 100, with 1 being the worst and 100 being the best, please provide an INTEGER rating on the overall quality of the paper for conference publication: 86 (above 70 points to be accepted)

Mark.

Please provide a short summary of the paper:

A. Originality(20%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

B. Clarity in terms of:

Presentation (10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Technical accuracy (10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

C. Significance and broader impact(20%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

D. Relevancy(10%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

E. Implication for industrial practice(20%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

F. For Reviewers(10%):

How would you as a reviewer rate yourself in terms of the level of expertise/awareness in the area of the paper?

High Moderate Marginal Low Nothing

Please provide specific recommendation, if any, that author(s) could use to improve the quality of the paper for the conference publication (given the constraint of the page limit)

1. This paper is fine for publication in computer science session.
2. It needs to make sure a comparison and analysis session if possible.

BELOW WOULD BE SENT TO THE AUTHOR(S)

:Due January 20, 2018

Reviewer ID: MASKED-B

Paper ID: 180108

Paper: "Feature Representation of Traffic Objects for Traffic Data Analysis"

On a scale of 1 – 100, with 1 being the worst and 100 being the best, please provide an INTEGER rating on the overall quality of the paper for conference publication: 86 (above 70 points to be accepted)

Mark.

Please provide a short summary of the paper:

A. Originality(20%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

B. Clarity in terms of:

Presentation (10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Technical accuracy (10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

C. Significance and broader impact(20%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

D. Relevancy(10%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

E. Implication for industrial practice(20%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

F. For Reviewers(10%):

How would you as a reviewer rate yourself in terms of the level of expertise/awareness in the area of the paper?

High Moderate Marginal Low Nothing

Please provide specific recommendation, if any, that author(s) could use to improve the quality of the paper for the conference publication (given the constraint of the page limit)

1. It is okay for publication in computer science session.
2. Update chapters to understand clearly.

BELOW WOULD BE SENT TO THE AUTHOR(S)

:Due January 20, 2018

Reviewer ID: MASKED-C

Paper ID: 180108

Paper: "Feature Representation of Traffic Objects for Traffic Data Analysis"

On a scale of 1 – 100, with 1 being the worst and 100 being the best, please provide an INTEGER rating on the overall quality of the paper for conference publication: 88 (above 70 points to be accepted)

Mark.

Please provide a short summary of the paper:

A. Originality(20%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

B. Clarity in terms of:

Presentation(10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Technical accuracy(10%): Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

C. Significance and broader impact(20%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

D. Relevancy(10%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

(Comments):

E. Implication for industrial practice(20%):

Excellent Good Fair Poor Very Poor

Optional comments explaining the basis of the rating at the discretion of the reviewer:

F. For Reviewers(10%):

How would you as a reviewer rate yourself in terms of the level of expertise/awareness in the area of the paper?

High Moderate Marginal Low Nothing

Please provide specific recommendation, if any, that author(s) could use to improve the quality of the paper for the conference publication (given the constraint of the page limit)

1. It is fine for publication in computer science session.

Gmail

99+ Mail

Compose

Chat

Spaces

Meet

- Inbox 10,658
- Starred
- Snoozed
- Important
- Sent
- Drafts 66
- Categories
 - More
- Labels +

Search: ijcsns

Active

53 of 66

Imelda imelda <imelda@budiluhur.ac.id> to IJCSNS

Jan 12, 2018, 5:27 PM

Dear Sir,

Thank you very much for the notification of acceptance of my paper.

Payments will be made on Monday January 15, 2018.

I plan to change the title of my paper to: "Feature Representation Scheme for Smart Video Sensor" with the same content.

Sincerely yours,

Imelda

On Wed, Jan 10, 2018 at 8:26 PM, IJCSNS Editor <ijcsns@gmail.com> wrote:



International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security

IJCSNS

ISSN 1738-7906

International Journal of Computer Science & Network Security(IJCSNS)

Date: January 15, 2018

Acceptance Letter

**Dear Imelda Imelda, Agus Harjoko, and Pekik Nurwantoro
Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia**

We are pleased to inform you that your research manuscript number IJCSNS-18-01-08, entitled "Feature Representation Scheme for Smart Video Sensor." has been accepted for publication in International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security(IJCSNS). The paper will be appeared in December 2017 Issues; with awaiting comments from 3rd referee, provided that any comments in future that needed to be addressed before finalization for publication.

Sincerely Yours,


: _____ 

Dr. John M. Jun, Editor, www.ijcsns.org editor@ijcsns.org

International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security,

Copyright 2005 Internation Journal of Computer Science and Network Security(IJCSNS)